

AGENDA TITLE:

Adopt Resolution Establishing and Updating Public Works Engineering Fees for

Preparation of Agreements and Processing of Improvement Projects

MEETING DATE:

December 17, 2003

PREPARED BY:

Public Works Director

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

That the City Council adopt a resolution establishing and updating

Public Works Engineering fees for preparation of agreements and

processing of improvement projects

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Council approved the current schedule of Public Works Engineering and miscellaneous fees in April 1999, and they took effect July 1, 1999. These discretionary services are typically funded in whole or in part by

user fees.

The proposed fee adjustments (Exhibit A) are based largely upon salary increases over the past four years, resulting from cost of living adjustments and salary survey adjustments.

Several fees are comparable to the fees charged by the County and cities in the County. Fees included in this category are Plan Check, Inspection, and Improvement Agreements. A comparison of these fees for Lodi, Stockton, San Joaquin County, Tracy and Ripon is presented in Exhibit B. At the proposed schedule, Lodi fees will remain modestly below the surveyed agencies.

Three new fees are recommended by staff and these are: 1) Improvement Agreement, 2) Improvement Agreement, Agreement, 2) Improvement Agreement. Over time, the breadth, scope and complexity of these agreements has grown to a level where the costs cannot reasonably be absorbed by the City. In other San Joaquin County cities, these discretionary services are charged in addition to the plan check charges for improvement projects. The fee for lot line adjustments is substantially higher than before, based on the level of effort and the fact that the original fee which was initiated in 1999 was underestimated.

An annual adjustment formula based upon the Consumer Price Index (Western Region, Urban, unadjusted) is included in the fee schedule that applies to all fees except Plan Check and Inspection, as these are based on a percentage of construction costs. There was no adjustment clause in the prior fee schedule. As a result, the noted fees in Exhibit A will automatically increase on July 1 of each year.

FUNDING:

Not applicable.

Richard C. Prima, Jr. Public Works Director

Prepared by F. Wally Sandelin, City Engineer RCP/FWS/pmf
Attachments

APPROVED:

Dixon Flynn, City Manager

CEngineeringFees.doc

12/10/2003

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-244

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL ESTABLISHING AND UPDATING PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING FEES FOR PREPARATION OF AGREEMENTS AND PROCESSING OF IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the Lodi Municipal Code requires the City Council, by Resolution, to set fees for various services provided by the City of Lodi to recover those costs associated with providing specific services and programs; and

WHEREAS, staff recommends increasing and establishing fees for the Public Works Department, as shown on the attached schedule marked Exhibit A and B.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby implement the fee schedules as attached hereto and made a part of this Resolution.

All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

This resolution shall be published one time in the Lodi News Sentinel, a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi, and shall be in force and take effect January 15, 2004.

Dated: December 17, 2003

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2003-244 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held December 17, 2003, by the following vote:

AYES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - Beckman, Hitchcock, Howard, Land, and Mayor

Hansen

NOES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSENT:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSTAIN:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

SUSAN J. BLACKSTON
City Clerk

2003-244

Engineering Fees	Note	Proposed Fee Revision	Existing Fee	
Plan Check, First Submittal		No Change	90% of Estimated Final Approval Fee	
Plan Check, Final Approval		5.0% of first \$50,000	4.5% of first \$50,000	
		3.0% of next \$200,000	2.5% of next \$200,00	
		2.0% of amount over \$250,000	1.5% of amount over \$250,000	
Improvement Agreement	1	\$1,500	None	
Improvement Agmt. Addenda	1	\$500	None	
Deferred Improvement Agreement	1	\$1,500	None	
Inspection		3.5% on engineered projects	2.5% on engineered projects	
Inspection on Overtime	1	\$43.25/hour	\$39.90/hour	
Miscellaneous				
Encroachment Permit				
Sidewalk/Driveway (single parcel)	1	\$65	\$50	
Non-Construction	1	\$32	\$25	
Downtown Sidewalk	1	\$32 (one-time)	None	
Encroachment				
Utility & Other Non-Public	1	\$32 plus 2.5% of construction cost for	\$25 plus 2.5% of construction cost for	
Construction		engineering and 2.5% for inspection	engineering and 2.5% for inspection	
Document Preparation	1	\$65	\$50	
Transportation Permits				
Single Trip		No Change	\$16 per Caltrans fee	
Multiple Trips		No Change	\$90 per Caltrans fee	
Other				
Address Change	1	\$21	\$16	
Street Abandonment	1	\$963 plus traffic studies, if needed	\$750 plus traffic studies	
Easement Abandonment	1	\$321	\$250	
Street Name Change	1	\$321	\$250	
Lot Line Adjustment	1	\$1,200	\$225	
Impact Mitigation Fee Payment Agreement	1	\$500	None	

^{1.} These fees shall be adjusted annually by the inflation rate based on the prior calendar year as determined by the Consumer Price Index (Western Region, Urban, unadjusted). The rate of inflation (or deflation) is applied to the fees to determine the fees for the subsequent year. Said fee adjustments, if any, will be made automatically effective July 1 of each year.

Exhibit B

	Lodi Present Fee	Lodi Proposed Fee	Stockton	Lathrop	County	Tracy	Ripon
Plan Check	4.5% of \$50,000 plus 2.5% of \$200,000 plus 1.5% of >\$250,000	5.0% of \$50,000 plus 3.0% of \$200,000 plus 2.0% of >\$250,000	5.5% of \$25,000 plus 3.0% of \$225,000 plus 2.0% of >\$250,000	5.5% of \$25,000 4.5% of \$225,000 3.5% >\$250,000	7.0% of \$50,000 plus 3.85% of \$250,000 plus 2.75% >\$300,000	3.5%	3.0%
Inspection	2.5%	3.0%	3.5%	3.0%	5% of \$200,000 plus 3.85% of \$300,000 plus 2.75% of \$500,000 2.25% >\$1,000,000	3.5%	3.0%
Improvement Agreement (Subdivision Agreement)	None	\$1,500	\$4,000	None	\$900	\$3,780	\$1,000
Improvement Agreement Addenda	None	\$500	\$4,000	None	None	None	None
Deferred Improvement Agreement	None	\$1,500	\$4,000	\$250	\$410	\$3,780	\$2,000- \$4,000

CEngineeringFee_Exhibit.doc 12/10/2003

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of San Joaquin

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid: I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Lodi News-Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily except Sundays and holidays, in the City of Lodi, California, County of San Joaquin and which newspaper had been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court, Department 3, of the County of San Joaquin, State of California, under the date of May 26th, 1953. Case Number 65990; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than non-pareil) has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereto on the following dates to-wit:

all in the year 2003
I certify (or declare) under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Dated at Lodi, California, this 26th day of December, 2003
Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

Proof of Publication of Notice of Resolution No. 2003-244

6230

December 20th

e ethnically divided country we come together to debate e draft constitution put forard by the government of resident Hamid Karzai. The draft calls for a moderate lamic state dominated by a rong presidency, and has rawn criticism from Islamic ard-liners who want a basic w that is based on Islamic law.

ex-trade sweep prompt olice call for new laws

TORONTO (AP) — Police in anada's most populous city leaded with the Ontario govrnment for tougher child-proection laws Friday after they vere compelled to release three eenage girls found during a nassive crackdown on the ity's underground sex trade.

Five girls, all aged 16 and 17, vere rescued the day before vhen officers from five different police forces joined city, immigration and child-welfare workrs in a sweep of more than 300 ody-rub and massage parlors ind "holistic centers" across the **Greater Toronto Area.**

"This was not an issue of prostitution or morality," Toronto police Staff Insp. Bruce Smollet told a news conference.

"This was about saving children and younger women from being forced to work in this industry."

But three of the five teens refused to go into custody as is their right under Ontario's Child and Family Services Act, which only allows police to relocate and protect children under the age of 16.

"These aren't hookers," said Toronto police Det. Rueben Stroble. "They're children."

New army chief vows to capture, kill top rebel

ARAUCA, Colombia (AP) -The new commander of the Colombian army has already made a formidable New Year's

said in an exclusive interview with The Associated Press that if U.S. troops managed to find Saddam Hussein in a hostile, foreign land, Colombian troops should be able to track down rebel commanders in their own homeland — something they have not done in four decades.

"They found Saddam in a hole, like a rat," the battle-hardened Carreno said Thursday as he made a whirlwind trip to boost morale among his troops. "These guys are rats too, hidden away in the jungle. And we can find them."

Carreno said he is targeting the seven members who make up the ruling secretariat of the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia, known as the FARC. But Carreno said his sights are really set on the top leader of the 17,000-strong rebel group -Manuel "Sureshot" Marulanda.

PUBLIC NOTICE

DOC#2003-270703 FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following person is doing business as: POOL-AIDE POOL SERVICE 1817 Berkeley Ave. Stockton, CA 95205

CHESTER W. WEBB 1817 Berkelev Ave. Stockton, CA 95205

This business is conducted by an individ-

The registrants commenced or will commence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on 11/23/03

s: CHESTER W. WEBB

This is a new fictitious business name state-

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Joaquin County on date indicated by file stamp. CERTIFICATION

11/24/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 - 6224

> DOC# 2003-287688 FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following person is doing business as: VALLEY SEAMLESS RAIN GUTTER 321 Shasta Dr.

Lodi, CA 95242 WILLIAM JACOB MCDONNELL 321 Shasta Dr.

Lodi, CA 95242 This business is conducted by an individual.

The registrants commenced or will commence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on NAME STATEMENT

The following persons are doing business CALIFORNIA LANDSCAPE AND DESIGN 1209 Mounce St. Lodi, CA 95240

PAUL V. LERMA 731 Willow Gien Dr. Lodi, CA 95240 GERI LERMA 731 Willow Glen Dr. Lodi, CA 95240

This business is conducted by husband and wife.

The registrants commenced or will commence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on n/a. s: PAUL V. LERMA

This is a new fictitious business name state-

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Joaquin County on date indicated by file stamp. CERTIFICATION

12/17/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 -

> DOC# 2003-283445 FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following persons are doing business

SAVE-MART 3310 E. Main St. Stockton, CA 95205

PINA KAUR 3615 Montana St. Stockton, CA 95212

345 Cypress St. Lodi, CA 95240

This business is conducted by a partner-

The registrants commenced or will commence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on 1/1/04

s: PINA KAUR

This is a new fictitious business name statement.

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Joaquin County on date Indicated by file stamp. CERTIFICATION

12/11/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin

Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 - 6227

CASE NUMBER PR 74487

STEVEN J. COTTRELL SBN 070504 A Professional Law Corporation 125 N. Pleasant Ave. Lodi, CA 95240 (209) 369-8212 (209) 369-5068 Attorney for: MELVIN ROUSH SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 222 EAST WEBER AVENUE STOCKTON, CA. 95202 STOCKTON BRANCH

ESTATE OF: MARY FRANCES ROUSH, also known as MARY F. ROUSH and MARY ROUSH, DECEDENT

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF MARY FRANCES ROUSH, also known as MARY F. ROUSH and MARY ROUSH.

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, con-

CERTIFICATION 12/10/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 - 6220

> DOC# 2003-286394 FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

The following persons are doing business CLEMENTS HILLS, LLC

18721 Cherry Rd. Lodi, CA 95240 MARKUS A. BOKISCH 18721 Cherry Rd. Lodi, CA 95240 **BRAD GOEHRING** 241 Plains Ct. Galt. CA 95632

1/1/04

This business is conducted by LLC. The registrants commenced or will commence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on

s: MARKUS A. BOKISCH This is a new fictitious business name statement.

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Joaquin County on date indicated by file stamp. CERTIFICATION

12/16/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 — 6222 Nov. 29, Dec. 6, 13, 20, 2003

12/122003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk Dec. 20, 27, 2003, Jan. 3, 10, 2004 6221

> DOC# 2003-253015 **FICTITIOUS BUSINESS** NAME STATEMENT

The following persons are doing business

B.D.D. CLEANING SERVICE 504 Northbank Ct. #139 Stockton, CA 95207

JUAN M. GARCIA 504 Northbank Ct. #139 Stockton, CA 95207 MARIACRUZ GARCIA 504 Northbank Ct. #139 Stockton, CA 95207

This business is conducted by husband and wife.

The registrants commenced or will com mence to transact business under the fictitious business name or names above on

s: JUAN M. GARCIA This is a new fictitious business name state

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Joaquin County on date indicated by file stamp.

CERTIFICATION 10/31/2003 Conformed Copy GARY W. FREEMAN County of San Joaquin

Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-244

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL ESTABLISHING AND UPDATING PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING FEES FOR PREPARATION OF AGREEMENTS AND PROCESSING OF IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the Lodi Municipal Code requires the City Council, by Resolution, to set fees for various services provided by the City of Lodi to recover those costs associated with providing specific services and programs; and

WHEREAS, staff recommends increasing and establishing fees for the Public Works Department, as shown on the attached schedule marked Exhibit A and B.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby implement the fee schedules as attached hereto and made a part of this Resolution.

All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

This resolution shall be published one time in the Lodi News Sentinel, a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi, and shall be in force and take effect January 15, 2004.

Dated: December 17, 2003

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2003-244 was passed and adopted by the City Counci of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held December 17, 2003, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS - Beckman, Hitchcock, Howard, Land, and Mayor Hansen NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS - None ABSENT COUNCIL MEMBERS - None ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

> s: SUSAN J. BLACKSTON City Clerk

Exhibit A

Estimated Final

Note Proposed Fee Revision Existing Fee **Engineering Fees** Plan Check, First Submittal Plan Check, Final Approval

No Change 5.0% of first \$50,000

Approval Fee 4.5% of first \$50,000 2.5% of next \$200,000

1.5% of amount over \$250,000

3.0% of next \$200,000 2.0% of amount

over \$250,000 \$1,500

Improvement Agreement

None

90% of